ITEM 12

Subject	**National Sentinel Stroke Audit 2010** **Results for the organisation of stroke care**
Purpose	To summarise the results of the audit, highlighting the fall from the top to the bottom quartile nationally and discuss the organisation wide issues which are preventing progress in improvement of care and score.
Responsible Executive	Nick Hateboer, Medical Director
Author of attached Report	Sue Gledhill, Stroke Services Development Manager
Summary	The results of the National Sentinel Stroke audit have indicated that despite steady improvement in stroke care within the stroke service there has been a decline in the strategic organisation of stroke care within the Trust over the last 4 years. The summary presented highlights the strategic issues which have been preventing progress.
Paper Seen By	Alison Tong and Nick Hateboer
Strategic Impact	Bed Management practices Stroke Team profile Increase in access to imaging requirements Provision of daily TIA clinic and follow up
Risk Evaluation	Financial Risk – loss of best practice tariff (approx £100,000) and potential loss of service contract High risk for those patients currently failing to reach the Stroke Unit – approx 25% patients, or experiencing delays in accessing the service (as per risk assessment submitted May 2008)
Impact on Care Quality Commission Registration	
Legal Implications	
Financial Implications	Loss of best practice tariff for stroke Potential for loss of contract for stroke care Requirement for additional consultant sessions
Recommendation	Section 7 lists the recommended action requirements which have all been incorporated into the Action plan for the SHA review of Stroke Care as they are the same requires actions. • 24/7 thrombolysis (achieved 1st July 2010) • Direct admission of all stroke patients to stroke unit • Appointment of additional stroke consultant and clinical psychologist • Revisit skill mix profile and provision of 7 day rehabilitation • Revisit resource requirements for daily TIA clinics and follow up service
Action Required by Board of Directors	As per SHA Review Recommended Action Plan